

CROSSED PRODUCT AND GALOIS EXTENSION OF MONOIDAL HOM-HOPF ALGEBRAS

DAOWEI LU, SHUANHONG WANG

ABSTRACT. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, and (A, β) a Hom-algebra. In this paper the crossed product $(A \#_{\sigma} H, \beta \otimes \alpha)$ is constructed, which is a Hom-algebra. Then we will introduce the notion of cleft extensions and Galois extensions, and prove that a crossed product is equivalent to a cleft extension and both are Galois extensions with normal basis property.

1. INTRODUCTION

The crossed products of algebras with Hopf algebras were independently introduced in [2] and [5]. Blattner and Montgomery showed in [3] that a crossed product with invertible cocycle is cleft. In particular, crossed products provide examples of Hopf-Galois extensions. Conversely, a Hopf-Galois extension with normal basis property is a crossed product as proved in [3].

Algebraic deformation has been well developed recently, and its theory has been applied in modules of quantum phenomena, as well as in analysis of complex systems. Hom-Lie algebras were introduced in [8]. They have been investigated by Silvestrov in [9]. In their construction, the Jacobi identity is replaced by the so-called Hom-Jacobi identity, namely

$$[\alpha(x), [y, z]] + [\alpha(y), [z, x]] + [\alpha(z), [x, y]] = 0,$$

where α is an endomorphism of the Lie algebra.

Hom-associative algebras were introduced in [6] for the first time. Here the associativity is replaced by the Hom-associativity and the unit no longer exists, replaced by a weak unit. Dually in [7] the notion of Hom-coassociative coalgebra was introduced. Then the concept of Hom-bialgebra and Hom-Hopf algebra were naturally developed as a generalization of the ordinary Hopf algebras. In [10], the authors illustrated the Hom-structure in the monoidal category approach, and introduced the monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, which were slightly different from the previous Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras.

Motivated by these ideas, in this paper, firstly we will construct the crossed product of a Hom-algebra and a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, generalizing the crossed product introduced in [2]. Then we will prove the equivalence between crossed products and cleft extensions in the Hom situation. Finally the Hom-Galois extensions are studied.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we will recall the definitions and results of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, such as a monoidal Hom-algebra, a monoidal Hom-coalgebra, a monoidal Hom-module, a monoidal Hom-comodule and the Hom-comodule algebras. In section 3, crossed product in the Hom situation

Key words and phrases. monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra; Crossed product; Galois extension.

is constructed, and the relations making the crossed product a Hom-algebra are obtained. In section 4, we will prove that a crossed product is actually a cleft extension and vice versa. In section 5, we will prove that the Galois extensions with normal basis property is equivalent to cleft extensions, generalizing the result in the classical Hopf algebras.

Throughout this article, all the vector spaces, tensor product and homomorphisms are over a fixed field k unless otherwise stated. We use the Sweedler's notation for the terminologies on coalgebras. For a coalgebra C , we write comultiplication $\Delta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2$ for any $c \in C$.

2. PRELIMINARY

Let $\mathcal{M}_k = (\mathcal{M}_k, \otimes, k, a, l, r)$ be the category of k -modules. Now from this category, we could construct a new monoidal category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. The objects of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ are pairs (M, μ) , where $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$ and $\mu \in \text{Aut}_k(M)$. Any morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is a k -linear map from M to N such that $\nu \circ f = f \circ \mu$. For any objects (M, μ) and (N, ν) in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$, the monoidal structure is given by

$$(M, \mu) \otimes (N, \nu) = (M \otimes N, \mu \otimes \nu),$$

and the unit is (k, id_k) .

Generally speaking, all Hom-structure are objects in the monoidal category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k) = (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k), \otimes, (k, id_k), \tilde{a}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ as introduced in [10], where the associativity constraint $\tilde{a}$ is given by the formula

$$\tilde{a}_{M,N,L} = a_{M,N,L} \circ ((\mu \otimes id) \otimes \lambda^{-1}) = (\mu \otimes (id \otimes \lambda^{-1})) \circ a_{M,N,L}$$

for any objects (M, μ) , (N, ν) , (L, λ) in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. And the unit constraints $\tilde{l}$ and $\tilde{r}$ are defined by

$$\tilde{l}_M = \mu \circ l_M = l_M \circ (id \otimes \mu), \quad \tilde{r}_M = \mu \circ r_M = r_M \circ (\mu \otimes id).$$

The category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is called the Hom-category associated to the monoidal category $\mathcal{M}_k$. In what follows, we will recall the definitions in [10] on the monoidal Hom-associative algebras, monoidal Hom-coassociative coalgebras, monoidal Hom-modules and monoidal Hom-comodules.

Recall from [10], a unital monoidal Hom-associative algebra is an object (A, α) in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with an element $1_A \in A$ and a linear map $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a)(bc) &= (ab)\alpha(c), \quad a1_A = \alpha(a) = 1_A a, \\ \alpha(ab) &= \alpha(a)\alpha(b), \quad \alpha(1_A) = 1_A, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$.

In the setting of Hopf algebras, m is called the Hom-multiplication, α is the twisting automorphism, and 1_A is the unit. Let (A, α) and (A', α') be two monoidal Hom-algebras. A Hom-algebra map $f : (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (A', \alpha')$ is a linear map such that $f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f$, $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$ and $f(1_A) = 1_{A'}$.

Recall from [10], a counital monoidal Hom-coassociative coalgebra is an object (C, γ) in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with linear maps $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, $c \mapsto c_1 \otimes c_2$ and $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \gamma^{-1}(c_1) \otimes \Delta(c_2) &= \sum \Delta(c_1) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(c_2), \quad \sum c_1 \varepsilon(c_2) = \sum \varepsilon(c_1) c_2 = \lambda^{-1}(c), \\ \Delta(\gamma(c)) &= \sum \gamma(c_1) \otimes \gamma(c_2), \quad \varepsilon \gamma(c) = \varepsilon(c), \end{aligned}$$

for all $c \in C$.

Let (C, γ) and (C', γ') be two monoidal Hom-coalgebras. A Hom-coalgebra map $f : (C, \gamma) \rightarrow (C', \gamma')$ is a linear map such that $f \circ \gamma = \gamma' \circ f$, $\Delta \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta$ and $\varepsilon \circ f = \varepsilon$.

Recall from [10], a monoidal Hom-bialgebra $H = (H, \alpha, m, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bialgebra in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ if $(H, \alpha, m, 1_H)$ is a monoidal Hom-algebra and $(H, \alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a monoidal Hom-coalgebra such that Δ and ε are Hom-algebra maps, that is, for any $g, h \in H$,

$$\Delta(gh) = \Delta(g)\Delta(h), \quad \Delta(1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_H,$$

$$\varepsilon(gh) = \varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(h), \quad \varepsilon(1_H) = 1.$$

A monoidal Hom-bialgebra (H, α) is called a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra if there exists a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ (the antipode) such that

$$S \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ S, \quad \sum S(h_1)h_2 = \varepsilon(h)1_H = \sum h_1S(h_2).$$

Just as in the case of Hopf algebras, the antipode of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras is a morphism of Hom-anti-algebras and Hom-anti-coalgebras.

Recall from [10], let (A, α) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. A left (A, α) -Hom-module is an object (M, μ) in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a linear map $\varphi : A \otimes M \rightarrow M$, $a \otimes m \mapsto am$ such that

$$\alpha(a)(bm) = (ab)\mu(m), \quad 1_A m = \mu(m),$$

$$\mu(am) = \alpha(a)\mu(m),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Similarly we can define the right (A, α) -Hom-modules. Let (M, μ) and (N, ν) be two left (A, α) -Hom-modules, then a linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called left A -module map if $f(am) = af(m)$ for any $a \in A$, $m \in M$ and $f \circ \mu = \nu \circ f$.

Recall from [10], let (C, γ) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. A right (C, γ) -Hom-comodule is an object (M, μ) in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a linear map $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$, $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$ such that

$$\sum \mu^{-1}(m_{(0)}) \otimes \Delta(m_{(1)}) = \sum \rho_M(m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)}), \quad \sum \varepsilon(m_{(1)})m_{(0)} = \mu^{-1}(m),$$

$$\rho_M(\mu(m)) = \sum \mu(m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma(m_{(1)}),$$

for all $m \in M$.

Let (M, μ) and (N, ν) be two right (C, γ) -Hom-comodules, then a linear map $g : M \rightarrow N$ is called right C -comodule map if $g \circ \mu = \nu \circ g$ and $\rho_N(g(m)) = (g \otimes id)\rho_M(m)$ for any $m \in M$.

Recall from [12], let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A monoidal Hom-algebra (B, β) is called a right (H, α) -Hom-comodule algebra if $((B, \beta), \rho)$ is a right (H, α) -Hom-comodule and ρ is a Hom-algebra map.

3. CROSSED PRODUCTS

In this section, we will construct the Hom-crossed product, and make it a Hom-algebra.

Definition 3.1. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and (A, β) a monoidal Hom-algebra. We say H weakly acts on A if there is a k -linear map $H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ given by $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$ such that

$$\beta(h \cdot a) = \alpha(h) \cdot \beta(a), \quad h \cdot 1 = \varepsilon(h)1, \quad h \cdot (ab) = \sum (h_1 \cdot a_1)(h_2 \cdot a_2),$$

for any $h \in H$ and $a, b \in A$.

Definition 3.2. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and (A, β) a monoidal Hom-algebra. Assume that H weakly acts on A and that $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow A$ is convolution invertible. The crossed product $A \#_\sigma H$ of A with H is the vector space $A \otimes H$ with the multiplication

$$(a \# h)(b \# k) = \sum a[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))\sigma(h_{21}, \alpha^{-1}(k_1))] \# \alpha^2(h_{22})\alpha(k_2)$$

for any $h, k \in H$ and $a, b \in A$.

Proposition 3.3. $(A \#_\sigma H, \beta \otimes \alpha)$ is an Hom-associative algebra with identity $1 \# 1$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

(a) A is a twisted H -module, that is $1 \cdot a = \beta(a)$ for any $a \in A$, and

$$\sum (\alpha(h_1) \cdot (l_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(a)))\sigma(\alpha(h_2), \alpha(l_2)) = \sum \sigma(\alpha(h_1), \alpha(l_1))(h_2 l_2 \cdot a), \quad (3.1)$$

for any $h, l \in H$ and $a \in A$.

(b) For all $h \in H$, $\sigma(1, h) = \sigma(h, 1) = \varepsilon(h)1$, and

$$\sum (\alpha(h_1) \cdot \sigma(l_1, k_1))\sigma(\alpha(h_2), l_2 k_2) = \sum \sigma(\alpha(h_1), \alpha(l_1))\sigma(h_2 l_2, k), \quad (3.2)$$

for any $h, k, l \in H$.

(c) σ is a morphism in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$, that is,

$$\sigma \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha) = \beta \circ \sigma.$$

Proof. If $(A \#_\sigma H, \beta \otimes \alpha)$ is an Hom-associative algebra with identity $1 \# 1$, for any $a \# h \in A \#_\sigma H$, by the unity,

$$(1 \# 1)(1 \# h) = \sum \beta^2(\sigma(1, \alpha^{-1}(h_1))) \# \alpha^2(h_2) = 1 \# \alpha(h).$$

Applying $id \otimes \varepsilon_H$ to both sides of the above equation, we have $\sigma(1, h) = \varepsilon(h)1$. Similarly by $(a \# h)(1 \# 1) = \beta(a) \# \alpha(h)$, we obtain $\sigma(h, 1) = \varepsilon(h)1$.

Since $(1 \# 1)(a \# 1) = \sum \beta((1 \cdot \beta^{-2}(a))\sigma(1, 1)) \# 1 = \beta(a) \# 1$, applying $id \otimes \varepsilon_H$ to both sides, we have $1 \cdot a = \beta(a)$.

By $(\beta \otimes \alpha)((a \# h)(b \# k)) = (\beta \otimes \alpha)(a \# h)(\beta \otimes \alpha)(b \# k)$, we can get

$$\sigma \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha) = \beta \circ \sigma.$$

For any $h, l, k \in H$, on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \# \alpha(h))[(1 \# l)(1 \# k)] &= \sum (1 \# \alpha(h))(\beta(\sigma(l_1, k_1)) \# \alpha(l_2 k_2)) \\ &= \sum \beta[h_1 \cdot \sigma(\alpha^{-1}(l_1), \alpha^{-1}(k_1))\sigma(\alpha(h_{21}), l_{21} k_{21})] \# \alpha^3(h_{22})\alpha^2(l_{22} k_{22}) \\ &= \sum (\alpha(h_1) \cdot \sigma(l_1, k_1))\sigma(\alpha^2(h_{21}), \alpha(l_{21} k_{21})) \# \alpha^3(h_{22})\alpha^2(l_{22} k_{22}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
((1\#h)(1\#l))(1\#\alpha(k)) &= \sum (\beta(\sigma(h_1, l_1))\#\alpha(h_2l_2))(1\#\alpha(k)) \\
&= \sum \beta(\sigma(h_1, l_1))[\sigma(\alpha(h_{21}l_{21}), \alpha(k_1))]\#\alpha^2(h_{22}l_{22})\alpha^2(k_2) \\
&= \sum \sigma(\alpha(h_1), \alpha(l_1))\sigma(\alpha(h_{21}l_{21}), \alpha(k_1))\#\alpha^2(h_{22}l_{22})\alpha^2(k_2).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hom-associativity,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum (\alpha(h_1) \cdot \sigma(l_1, k_1))\sigma(\alpha^2(h_{21}), \alpha(l_{21}k_{21}))\#\alpha^3(h_{22})\alpha^2(l_{22}k_{22}) \\
&= \sum \sigma(\alpha(h_1), \alpha(l_1))\sigma(\alpha(h_{21}l_{21}), \alpha(k_1))\#\alpha^2(h_{22}l_{22})\alpha^2(k_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon_H$ to the above equation, we get the relation (3.1).

For any $h, l \in H$ and $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}
((1\#h)(1\#l))(\beta(a)\#1) &= \sum (\beta(\sigma(h_1, l_1))\#\alpha(h_2l_2))(\beta(a)\#1) \\
&= \sum \beta(\sigma(h_1, l_1))[(h_{21}l_{21} \cdot \beta^{-1}(a))\sigma(\alpha(h_{221}l_{221}), 1)]\#\alpha^3(h_{222}l_{222}) \\
&= \sum \beta(\sigma(h_1, l_1))(\alpha(h_{21}l_{21}) \cdot a)\#\alpha^2(h_{22}l_{22}) \\
&= \sum \sigma(\alpha(h_1), \alpha(l_1))(\alpha(h_{21}l_{21}) \cdot a)\#\alpha^2(h_{22}l_{22}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(1\#\alpha(h))[(1\#l)(a\#1)] &= \sum (1\#\alpha(h))((\beta^2(\alpha^{-1}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a)))\varepsilon(l_{21})\#\alpha^3(l_{22})) \\
&= \sum (1\#\alpha(h))(\alpha(l_1) \cdot a)\#\alpha^2(l_2) \\
&= \sum (\alpha(h_1) \cdot (l_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(a)))\sigma(\alpha^2(h_{21}), \alpha^2(l_{21}))\#\alpha^3(h_{22}l_{22}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Hom-associativity again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum \sigma(\alpha(h_1), \alpha(l_1))(\alpha(h_{21}l_{21}) \cdot a)\#\alpha^2(h_{22}l_{22}) \\
&= \sum (\alpha(h_1) \cdot (l_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(a)))\sigma(\alpha^2(h_{21}), \alpha^2(l_{21}))\#\alpha^3(h_{22}l_{22}).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon_H$ to the above equation, we have the relation (3.1).

Conversely, if the conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold, It is easy to get that $1\#1$ is the unit.

Then for any $a, b, c \in A$, and $h, l, k \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\beta(a) \# \alpha(h))((b \# l)(c \# k)) \\
&= \sum (\beta(a) \# \alpha(h))(b[(\alpha^{-1}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(c))\sigma(l_{21}, \alpha^{-1}(k_1))]\# \alpha^2(l_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)[(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))[(\alpha^{-3}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-4}(c))\sigma(\alpha^{-2}(l_{21}), \alpha^{-3}(k_1))]]\sigma(\alpha(h_{21}), \alpha(l_{221})k_{21})] \\
&\quad \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha^3(l_{222})\alpha^2(k_{22})) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(h_{11} \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))(h_{12} \cdot [(\alpha^{-3}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-4}(c))\sigma(\alpha^{-2}(l_{21}), \alpha^{-3}(k_1))])]\} \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha(h_{21}), \alpha(l_{221})k_{21})\} \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha^3(l_{222})\alpha^2(k_{22})) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(h_{11} \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))[(h_{121} \cdot (\alpha^{-3}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-4}(c)))(h_{122} \cdot \sigma(\alpha^{-2}(l_{21}), \alpha^{-3}(k_1)))]\} \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha(h_{21}), \alpha(l_{221})k_{21})\} \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha^3(l_{222})\alpha^2(k_{22})) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(h_{11} \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))(\alpha(h_{121}) \cdot (\alpha^{-2}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-3}(c)))] \\
&\quad [(\alpha(h_{122}) \cdot \sigma(\alpha^{-1}(l_{21}), \alpha^{-2}(k_1)))\sigma(h_{21}, l_{221}\alpha^{-1}(k_{21})))]\} \\
&\quad \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha^3(l_{222})\alpha^2(k_{22})) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))(h_{21} \cdot (\alpha^{-2}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-3}(c)))][(\alpha^2(h_{2211}) \cdot \sigma(l_{211}, \alpha^{-1}(k_{11}))) \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha^2(h_{2212}), l_{212}\alpha^{-1}(k_{12})))]\} \# \alpha^4(h_{222})(\alpha^2(l_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))(h_{21} \cdot (\alpha^{-2}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-3}(c)))] [\sigma(\alpha^2(h_{2211}), \alpha(l_{211})) \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha(h_{2212})l_{212}, \alpha^{-1}(k_1)))]\} \# \alpha^4(h_{222})(\alpha^2(l_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \quad (1) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))[(\alpha^{-1}(h_{21}) \cdot (\alpha^{-3}(l_1) \cdot \beta^{-4}(c))\sigma(\alpha(h_{211}), l_{211})) \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha^2(h_{2212})\alpha(l_{212}), k_1)]\} \# \alpha^4(h_{222})(\alpha^2(l_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))[(\alpha(h_{2111}) \cdot (\alpha^{-1}(l_{111}) \cdot \beta^{-4}(c))\sigma(\alpha(h_{2112}), l_{112})) \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha(h_{212})l_{12}, k_1)]\} \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha(l_2)\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))[(\alpha(h_{2111}) \cdot (\alpha^{-1}(l_{111}) \cdot \beta^{-4}(c))\sigma(\alpha(h_{2112}), l_{112})) \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha(h_{212})l_{12}, k_1)]\} \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha(l_2)\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \beta(a)\{[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))[\sigma(\alpha(h_{2111}), l_{111})(h_{2112}\alpha^{-1}(l_{112}) \cdot \beta^{-3}(c))]] \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha(h_{212})l_{12}, k_1)]\} \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha(l_2)\alpha(k_2)) \quad (2) \\
&= \sum \{a[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))(\sigma(\alpha^2(h_{2111}), \alpha(l_{111})))][(\alpha^2(h_{2112})\alpha(l_{112}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(c)) \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha(h_{212})l_{12}, k_1)]\} \# \alpha^3(h_{22})(\alpha(l_2)\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \{a[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))\sigma(h_{21}, \alpha^{-1}(l_1))]\}[(\alpha(h_{221})l_{21} \cdot \beta^{-1}(c)) \\
&\quad \sigma(\alpha^2(h_{2221})\alpha(l_{221}), k_1)] \# (\alpha^4(h_{2222})\alpha^3(l_{222}))\alpha^2(k_2) \\
&= \sum \{a[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))\sigma(h_{21}, \alpha^{-1}(l_1))]\# \alpha^2(h_{22})\alpha(l_2)\}(\beta(c) \# \alpha(k)) \\
&= [(a \# h)(b \# l)](\beta(c) \# \alpha(k))
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.4. When σ is trivial, that is $\sigma(h, k) = \varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(k)1_A$, then by (3.1), A is a left H -module. Thus A is a left H -module algebra. The crossed product $A \#_\sigma H$ is in fact the smash product $A \# H$.

Example 3.5. Let G be a group, and α is an automorphism of G . Then $G' = (G, \alpha)$ is a Hom-group with the structure

$$g \cdot h = \alpha(gh),$$

where $\cdot$ means the multiplication in G' .

Let kG be the usual group algebra spanned by G . Clearly α can be extended to an automorphism of kG , still denoted by α . Then (kG, α) is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with the structure:

$$\Delta(g) = \alpha^{-1}(g) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(g), \quad \varepsilon(g) = 1_k, \quad S(g) = g^{-1}.$$

(kG, α) is called Hom-group algebra, which is a generalization of group algebra. For details we can refer to [10].

Assume that N is a normal subgroup of G , and $\alpha(N) = N$. Then $N' = (N, \alpha)$ is also a normal subgroup of G' . Hence we have the quotient Hom-group $(G'/N', \bar{\alpha})$, where $\bar{\alpha}$ is an automorphism of G'/N' such that $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{g}) = \overline{\alpha(g)}$. For each coset $\bar{x} \in G/N$, choose a coset representation $\gamma(\bar{x}) \in \bar{x}$ satisfying $\alpha \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \bar{\alpha}$. For simplicity assume $\gamma(\bar{1}) = 1$.

Now define the left action of $k[G'/N']$ on kN' by

$$\bar{x} \triangleright m = [\gamma(\bar{\alpha}^{-1}(\bar{x})) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(m)] \cdot \gamma(\bar{x})^{-1},$$

and $\sigma : k[G'/N'] \otimes k[G'/N'] \rightarrow kN'$ by

$$\sigma(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = [\gamma(\bar{\alpha}^{-1}(\bar{x})) \cdot \gamma(\bar{\alpha}^{-1}(\bar{y}))] \cdot \gamma(\bar{\alpha}^{-1}(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{\alpha}^{-1}(\bar{y}))^{-1}.$$

It is straightforward to verify the relations in Proposition 3.3 hold. Then we have the crossed product $kG' = kN' \#_\sigma k[G'/N']$.

4. CLEFT EXTENSIONS

In this section, we will prove that a Hom-crossed product is equivalent to a Hom-cleft extension. This result is a generalization of the theory in the usual Hopf algebras. First we need the following definition.

Definition 4.1. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and (B, β) a monoidal Hom-algebra. Assume B is a right H -comodule algebra, and $A = B^{coH}$. Then $A \subset B$ is called H -cleft extension if there exists a right H -comodule map $\gamma : H \rightarrow B$ which is convolution inverse.

Note that we may always assume that $\gamma(1) = 1$.

Lemma 4.2. Assume that (B, β) is a right H -Hom-comodule algebra, via $\rho : B \rightarrow B \otimes H$, and that $A \subset B$ is a H -cleft extension via γ with $\gamma(1) = 1$. Then

- (a) $\rho \circ \gamma^{-1} = (\gamma^{-1} \otimes S) \circ \tau \circ \Delta$
- (b) $\sum b_{(0)} \gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \in A$ for any $b \in B$.

Proof. (a) Since ρ is an algebra map, $\rho \circ \gamma^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\rho \circ \gamma = (\gamma \otimes id)\Delta$. Let $\lambda = (\gamma^{-1} \otimes S) \circ \tau \circ \Delta$. Then for any $h \in H$

$$\begin{aligned}
((\rho \circ \gamma) * \lambda)(h) &= \sum [(\gamma \otimes id)\Delta(h_1)][(\gamma^{-1} \otimes S) \circ \tau \circ \Delta(h_2)] \\
&= \sum [\gamma(h_{11}) \otimes h_{12}][\gamma^{-1}(h_{22}) \otimes S(h_{21})] \\
&= \sum \gamma(h_{11})\gamma^{-1}(h_{22}) \otimes h_{12}S(h_{21}) \\
&= \sum \gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\gamma^{-1}(h_{22}) \otimes \varepsilon(h_{21})1 \\
&= \sum \gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_2)) \otimes 1 \\
&= \varepsilon(h)1 \otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda = \rho \circ \gamma^{-1}$ by the uniqueness of inverses.

(b) For any $b \in B$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \rho(b_{(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)})) &= \sum \rho(b_{(0)})\rho(\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)})) \\
&= \sum (b_{(0)(0)} \otimes b_{(0)(1)})(\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)2}) \otimes S(b_{(1)1})) \text{ by (a)} \\
&= \sum b_{(0)(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)2}) \otimes b_{(0)(1)}S(b_{(1)1}) \\
&= \sum \beta^{-1}(b_{(0)})\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(b_{(1)22})) \otimes b_{(1)1}S(\alpha(b_{(1)21})) \\
&= \sum \beta^{-1}(b_{(0)})\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)2}) \otimes \alpha(b_{(1)11})S(\alpha(b_{(1)12})) \\
&= \sum \beta^{-1}(b_{(0)})\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(b_{(1)})) \otimes 1 \\
&= \sum \beta^{-1}(b_{(0)})\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (b). □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $A \subset B$ be right H -cleft extension via $\gamma : H \rightarrow B$. Then there is a crossed product action of H on A given by*

$$h \cdot a = \sum (\gamma(h_1)\beta^{-1}(a))\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(h_2)),$$

and a convolution inverse map $\sigma : H \otimes H \rightarrow A$ given by

$$\sigma(h, k) = \sum (\gamma(h_1)\gamma(k_1))\gamma^{-1}(h_2k_2).$$

Then we have the crossed product $A \#_{\sigma} H$. Moreover $\Phi : A \#_{\sigma} H \rightarrow B$, $a \# h \mapsto a\gamma(h)$ is a Hom-algebra map. Also Φ is both a left A -module and right H -comodule map, where $a \cdot (b \# h) = \beta^{-1}(a)b \# \alpha(h)$ and $\sum (a \# h)_{(0)} \otimes (a \# h)_{(1)} = \sum \beta^{-1}(a) \# h_1 \otimes \alpha(h_2)$.

Proof. We first show that $h \cdot a \in A$ for any $a \in A, h \in H$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(h \cdot a) &= \rho\left(\sum (\gamma(h_1)\beta^{-1}(a))\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(h_2))\right) \\
&= \sum [\rho\gamma(h_1)\rho(\beta^{-1}(a))]\rho\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(h_2)) \\
&= \sum [(\gamma(h_{11}) \otimes h_{12})(\beta^{-2}(a) \otimes 1)](\beta(\gamma^{-1}(h_2)_{(0)}) \otimes \alpha(\gamma^{-1}(h_2)_{(1)})) \\
&= \sum (\gamma(h_{11})\beta^{-2}(a) \otimes \alpha(h_{12}))(\beta(\gamma^{-1}(h_{22})) \otimes \alpha(S(h_{21}))) \\
&= \sum (\gamma(h_{11})\beta^{-2}(a))\beta(\gamma^{-1}(h_{22})) \otimes \alpha(h_{12})\alpha(S(h_{21})) \\
&= \sum (\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\beta^{-2}(a))\beta(\gamma^{-1}(h_{22})) \otimes \varepsilon(h_{21})1 \\
&= \sum (\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\beta^{-2}(a))\gamma^{-1}(h_2) \otimes 1 \\
&= \beta^{-1}(h \cdot a) \otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $h \cdot a \in B^{coH} = A$. It is straightforward to verify that H weakly acts on A . And for any $h, k \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\sigma(h, k)) &= \sum (\rho\gamma(h_1)\rho\gamma(k_1))\rho\gamma^{-1}(h_2k_2) \\
&= \sum [(\gamma(h_{11}) \otimes h_{12})(\gamma(k_{11}) \otimes k_{12})][\gamma^{-1}(h_{22}k_{22}) \otimes S(h_{21}k_{21})] \\
&= \sum (\gamma(h_{11})\gamma(k_{11}))\gamma^{-1}(h_{22}k_{22}) \otimes (h_{12}k_{12})S(h_{21}k_{21}) \\
&= \sum (\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(k_1)))\gamma^{-1}(h_{22}k_{22}) \otimes \varepsilon(h_{21}k_{21})1 \\
&= \sum (\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(k_1)))\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_2k_2)) \otimes 1 \\
&= \beta^{-1}(\sigma(h, k)) \otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma(h, k) \in A$.

Define $\Psi : B \rightarrow A \#_{\sigma} H$ by $b \mapsto \sum b_{(0)(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(0)(1)})\#b_{(1)}$. By Lemma 3.2(b), we know that it makes sense. Next we will show that Φ and Ψ are mutual inverses. First for any $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi\Psi(b) &= \sum \Phi(b_{(0)(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(0)(1)})\#b_{(1)}) = \sum (b_{(0)(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(0)(1)}))\gamma(b_{(1)}) \\
&= \sum \beta(b_{(0)(0)})(\gamma^{-1}(b_{(0)(1)})\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(b_{(1)}))) = \sum b_{(0)}(\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)1})\gamma(b_{(1)2})) \\
&= \sum b_{(0)}\varepsilon(b_{(1)})1 = b,
\end{aligned}$$

and for any $a\#h \in A\#_{\sigma}H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi\Phi(a\#h) &= \Psi(a\gamma(h)) = \sum (a_{(0)(0)}\gamma(h)_{(0)(0)})\gamma^{-1}(a_{(0)(1)}\gamma(h)_{(0)(1)})\#a_{(1)}\gamma(h)_{(1)} \\
&= \sum (\beta^{-2}(a)\gamma(h)_{(0)(0)})\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(\gamma(h)_{(0)(1)}))\#\alpha(\gamma(h)_{(1)}) \\
&= \sum (\beta^{-2}(a)\gamma(h_{11})_{(0)})\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(\gamma(h_{11})_{(1)}))\#\alpha(h_2) \\
&= \sum (\beta^{-2}(a)\gamma(h_{11}))\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(h_{12}))\#\alpha(h_2) \\
&= \sum \beta^{-1}(a)(\gamma(h_{11})\gamma^{-1}(h_{12}))\#\alpha(h_2) \\
&= a\#h.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$. Moreover Φ is a Hom-algebra map. Indeed first $\Phi \circ (\beta \otimes \alpha) = \beta \circ \Phi$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi((a\#h)(b\#k)) \\
&= \sum \{a[(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b))\sigma(h_{21}, \alpha^{-1}(k_1))]\}\gamma(\alpha^2(h_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \{a[(\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_{11}))\beta^{-3}(b))\gamma^{-1}(h_{12}))((\gamma(h_{211})\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(k_{11})))\gamma^{-1}(h_{212}\alpha^{-1}(k_{12})))]\}\gamma(\alpha^2(h_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \{a[(\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_{11}))\beta^{-3}(b))(\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{12}))\gamma(h_{211}))](\gamma(k_{11})(\gamma^{-1}(h_{212}\alpha^{-1}(k_{12}))))]\}\gamma(\alpha^2(h_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum \{a[(\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\beta^{-2}(b))(\gamma(k_{11})(\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{21})\alpha^{-1}(k_{12}))))]\}\gamma(\alpha^2(h_{22})\alpha(k_2)) \\
&= \sum (a(\gamma(h_1)\beta^{-1}(b))[(\gamma(\alpha(k_{11}))\gamma^{-1}(h_{21}k_{12}))\gamma(\alpha(h_{22})k_2)]) \\
&= \sum (a(\gamma(h_1)\beta^{-1}(b)))\gamma(\alpha(k_1))\varepsilon(h_2) \\
&= (a\gamma(h))(b\gamma(k)) = \Phi(a\#h)\Phi(b\#k).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $A\#_\sigma H \simeq B$ as Hom-algebra. The condition (3.1) and (3.2) hold. Finally it is easy to check that Φ is a left A -module and right H -comodule map. The proof is completed. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $A\#_\sigma H$ be a crossed product, and define the map $\gamma : H \rightarrow A\#_\sigma H$ by $\gamma(h) = 1\#\alpha^{-1}(h)$. Then γ is convolution invertible with inverse*

$$\gamma^{-1}(h) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{21}), h_{22})\#S(h_1).$$

Proof. Set $\mu(h) = \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{21}), h_{22})\#S(h_1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu * \gamma)(h) &= \sum (\sigma^{-1}(S(h_{121}), h_{122})\#S(h_{11}))(1\#\alpha^{-1}(h_2)) \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{121}), h_{122})\sigma(S(h_{112}), \alpha^{-1}(h_{21}))\#S(\alpha(h_{111}))h_{22} \\
&= \sum \sigma^{-1}(S(h_{212}), \alpha(h_{2211}))\sigma(S(h_{211}), \alpha(h_{2212}))\#S(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\alpha(h_{222}) \\
&= \sum 1\#\varepsilon(h_{21})\varepsilon(h_{221})S(\alpha^{-1}(h_1))\alpha(h_{222}) \\
&= \varepsilon(h)1\#1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus μ is the left inverse of γ . And it is straightforward to verify that μ is the right inverse of γ , as done in Proposition 7.2.7 in [1].

Obviously γ is a right H -comodule map. This completes the proof. $\square$

By the above two propositions, we obtain the following theorem directly.

Theorem 4.5. *$A \subset B$ is a H -cleft extension if and only if $B \simeq A\#_\sigma H$.*

5. GALOIS EXTENSION

In this section, we will introduce the Galois extensions in the Hom situation, and generalize the result in the Hopf algebra setting that a cleft extension is equivalent to a Galois extension with normal basis property.

Let (A, β) be a Hom-algebra, and (M, μ) , (N, λ) be a right and left Hom-monoidal module respectively. Define a subspace X of $M \otimes N$ by $X = \{m \cdot$

$a \otimes n - \mu(m) \otimes a \cdot \lambda^{-1}(n) | \forall m \in M, n \in N, a \in A\}$. Set $M \otimes_A N$ be the quotient $(M \otimes N)/X$. That is, in $M \otimes_A N$, $m \cdot a \otimes n = \mu(m) \otimes a \cdot \lambda^{-1}(n)$.

Definition 5.1. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra and (A, β) be a Hom-algebra. Assume A is a right H -comodule algebra. Then the extension A^{coH} is called a right H -Galois if the map $\varphi : A \otimes_{A^{coH}} A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ given by $\varphi(a \otimes b) = \beta^{-1}(a)b_{(0)} \otimes \alpha(b_{(1)})$ is a bijective in the category $\mathcal{M}_k$.

Definition 5.2. Let $A \subset B$ be a right H -extension. The extension has the normal base property if $B \simeq A \otimes H$ as left A -module and right H -comodule, where the left A -module action and right H -comodule coaction on $A \otimes H$ is defined in Proposition 4.3.

Theorem 5.3. Let $A \subset B$ be a right H -extension. Then the following are equivalent

- (a) $A \subset B$ is H -cleft,
- (b) $A \subset B$ is H -Galois and has the normal base property.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). By Proposition 2.3, if $A \subset B$ is H -cleft, $B \simeq A \#_\sigma H$ as left A -module and right H -comodule. Thus the normal base property is satisfied.

Assume $\varphi : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B \otimes H$ is given by $\varphi(a \otimes b) = \beta^{-1}(a)b_{(0)} \otimes \alpha(b_{(1)})$. Let $\gamma : H \rightarrow B$ be the map such that $A \subset B$ is H -cleft. Define $\psi : B \otimes H \rightarrow B \otimes_A B$ by

$$\psi(b \otimes h) = \sum \beta^{-1}(b)\gamma^{-1}(h_1) \otimes \gamma(\alpha(h_2)).$$

Then on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\psi(b \otimes h) &= \varphi\left(\sum \beta^{-1}(b)\gamma^{-1}(h_1) \otimes \gamma(\alpha(h_2))\right) \\ &= \sum (\beta^{-2}(b)\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_1)))\gamma(\alpha(h_2))_{(0)} \otimes \alpha(\gamma(\alpha(h_2)))_{(1)} \\ &= \sum (\beta^{-2}(b)\gamma^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_1)))\gamma(\alpha(h_{21})) \otimes \alpha^2(h_{22}) \\ &= \sum \beta^{-1}(b)(\gamma^{-1}(h_{11})\gamma(h_{21})) \otimes \alpha(h_2) \\ &= b \otimes h. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\varphi(a \otimes b) &= \psi\left(\sum \beta^{-1}(a)b_{(0)} \otimes \alpha(b_{(1)})\right) \\ &= \sum (\beta^{-2}(a)\beta^{-1}(b_{(0)}))\gamma^{-1}(\alpha(b_{(1)1})) \otimes \gamma(\alpha^2(b_{(1)2})) \\ &= \sum \beta^{-1}(a)(\beta^{-1}(b_{(0)})\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)1})) \otimes \gamma(\alpha^2(b_{(1)2})) \\ &= \sum \beta^{-1}(a)(b_{(0)(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(0)(1)})) \otimes \gamma(\alpha(b_{(1)})) \\ &\quad \text{Since } \Sigma b_{(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(1)}) \in A \\ &= \sum a \otimes (b_{(0)(0)}\gamma^{-1}(b_{(0)(1)}))\gamma(b_{(1)}) \\ &= a \otimes b. \end{aligned}$$

Now φ is a bijective. Thus $A \subset B$ is H -Galois.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Assume $A \subset B$ is H -Galois with φ being bijective and there exists a bijective $\theta : A \otimes H \rightarrow B$ which is a left A -module and right H -comodule map. Define $\gamma : H \rightarrow B$ by $\gamma(h) = \theta(1 \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h))$. Then it is easy to see that γ is a right H -comodule map.

Define $g \in \text{Hom}_A(B, A)$ by $g = \beta \circ (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \theta^{-1}$. Obviously $g(\gamma(h)) = \varepsilon(h)1$. Then we define $\mu : H \rightarrow B$ by

$$\mu(h) = m(id \otimes g)\varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h)).$$

We claim that $\mu = \gamma^{-1}$. Indeed firstly for any $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma * \mu)(h) &= \sum \gamma(h_1)m(id \otimes g)\varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_2)) \\ &= \sum m(id \otimes g)[(\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h_1)) \otimes 1)\varphi^{-1}(\gamma(h_1) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_2))] \\ &= \sum m(id \otimes g)\varphi^{-1}(\gamma(h_1) \otimes h_2) \\ &\quad \text{since } \varphi^{-1}(\beta(b) \otimes \alpha(h)) = (b \otimes 1)\varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes h) \\ &= m(id \otimes g)(1 \otimes \gamma\alpha^{-1}(h)) \\ &= \varepsilon(h)1. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, note that

$$(\varphi \otimes id)(\beta^{-1} \otimes id \otimes \alpha)(id \otimes \rho) = (\beta^{-1} \otimes id \otimes \alpha)(id \otimes \Delta)\varphi,$$

hence we have

$$(\beta^{-1} \otimes id \otimes \alpha)(id \otimes \rho)\varphi^{-1} = (\varphi^{-1} \otimes id)(\beta^{-1} \otimes id \otimes \alpha)(id \otimes \Delta).$$

Then for any $h \in H$, denote $\varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h)) = \sum a_i \otimes b_i$. We have

$$\sum \beta^{-1}(a_i) \otimes b_{i(0)} \otimes b_{i(1)} = \sum \varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_1)) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_2).$$

Also since θ is a right H -comodule map,

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta^{-1} \otimes id)\theta^{-1}(b) &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)(\sum \theta^{-1}(b)_{(0)} \otimes \theta^{-1}(b)_{(1)}) \\ &= (id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id)(\sum \theta^{-1}(b_{(0)}) \otimes b_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum g(\beta^{-1}(b_{(0)})) \otimes b_{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By $\gamma(h) = \theta(1 \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h))$, we have $b = \sum g(b_{(0)})\gamma(b_{(1)})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu * \gamma)(h) &= \sum [m(id \otimes g)\varphi^{-1}(1 \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_1))]\gamma(h_2) \\ &= \sum [m(id \otimes g)(\beta^{-1}(a_i) \otimes b_{i(0)})]\gamma(\alpha(b_{i(1)})) \\ &= \sum a_i(g(b_{i(0)})\gamma(b_{i(1)})) \\ &= \sum a_i b_i = \varepsilon(h)1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus γ is convolution inverse. The proof is completed. $\square$

By Theorem 2.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $A \subset B$ be a right H -extension. Then $A \subset B$ is Galois with the normal property if and only if $B \simeq A \#_{\sigma} H$, the crossed product of A with H .*

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Montgomery. Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, CBNS series in Math., Vol. 82, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993.
- [2] R. Blattner, M. Cohen, S. Montgomery. Crossed products and inner actions of Hopf algebras. Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 298(1986): 671–711.
- [3] R. Blattner, S. Montgomery. Crossed products and Galois extensions of Hopf algebras. Pac. J. Math., 137(1989): 37–54.
- [4] C. Kassel, Quantum Groups, Graduate Texts in Math. Vol. 155, 1995.
- [5] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi. Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra. Comm. Alg., 14(1986): 801–817.
- [6] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structure, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2(2008): 52–64.
- [7] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, J. Alg. Appl., 9(2010): 553–589.
- [8] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, S. D. Silvestrov. Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations. J. Algebra, 295(2006): 314–361.
- [9] A. Makhlouf, S. D. Silvestrov. Hom-algebras and hom-coalgebras. J. Algebra Appl., 9(2010): 553–589.
- [10] S. Caenepeel and I. Goyvaerts, Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, Comm. Alg., 39(2011): 2216–2240.
- [11] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, Integrals for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and their applications, J. Math. Phys., 54(2013), 073515.
- [12] L. Liu and B. Shen, Radford’s biproducts and Yetter-Drinfeld modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, J. Math. Phys. 55(2014), 031701.

E-mail address: ludaowei620@sina.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING, JIANGSU 210096, P. R. OF CHINA